Assessment of ocean color data records from MODIS-Aqua in the western Arctic Ocean
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A B S T R A C T

A broad suite of bio-optical and biogeochemical observations collected during the NASA-funded ICESCAPE expeditions to the western Arctic Ocean in 2010 and 2011 was used to validate ocean color satellite data products in this region, which is undergoing fast ecological changes in the context of a changing climate. Satellite-to-in situ match-ups for the MODIS instrument onboard Aqua (MODISA) were evaluated using standard NASA empirical and semi-analytical algorithms to estimate chlorophyll-a (Chl), spectral marine inherent optical properties, and particulate organic carbon (POC). Results for the empirical algorithms were compared with those from the semi-analytical Generalized Inherent Optical Property (GIOP) algorithm. The findings presented here showed that MODISA Chl estimates were positively biased relative to in situ measurements, in agreement with previous studies that have evaluated ocean color retrievals in the Arctic Ocean. These biases were reproduced using both satellite and in situ measured remote sensing refections, \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \), indicating that estimation errors are derived from the application of the empirical algorithm and not by the observed radiometry. This disparity appears to be caused by contributions of high spectral absorption from chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), which is a well-documented feature of Arctic Ocean waters. The current MODISA empirical algorithm (OC3M) appears to attribute CDOM absorption in the blue region of the spectrum to phytoplankton absorption. In contrast, GIOP showed significant improvement over OC3M Chl estimates by effectively discriminating between phytoplankton and CDOM absorption. Additionally, executing GIOP with an expanded set of spectral bands derived from in situ radiometry, instead of just six MODISA bands, further improved the performance of absorption estimates. These findings reinforce previous suggestions that semi-analytical approaches will provide more reliable data records for Arctic studies than existing empirical methods. POC estimates showed no clear bias relative to in situ measurements, suggesting that the empirical algorithm better represents high latitude oceans with regards to the bio-optical signature of suspended particulate stocks.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Impacts of Climate change on the Eco-Systems and Chemistry of the Arctic Pacific Environment (ICESCAPE) Project took place during the boreal summer in 2010 and 2011, under the auspices of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA; https://www.espo.nasa.gov/icescape/). The multidisciplinary project’s main objective was to improve our understanding of the impact of natural and anthropogenic climate change on the biogeochemistry and ecology of the Chukchi and Beaufort seas. Recently documented changes in summer ice cover (Comiso et al., 2008), accompanied by a transition from thick multiyear ice to a seascape increasingly dominated by thinner, first-year ice (Comiso, 2011; Kwok et al., 2009) have brought discernible modifications to the phenology of the Arctic Ocean region, such as earlier occurrences of the annual phytoplankton blooms (Kahru et al., 2011) and an overall increase in ocean net primary production (NPP) (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2011; Bélanger et al., 2013; Petrenko et al., 2013). Climate models predict that ice cover changes may accelerate in the future, with the possible scenario of an ice-free Arctic during the summer months before the end of the century (Holland et al., 2006; Wang and Overland, 2009). The ICESCAPE program integrated a multidisciplinary approach to enhance existing field data sets aimed at
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supporting synthesis efforts to better understand the impacts of climate change on the ecology and biogeochemistry of the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas (e.g., Arrigo et al., 2012).

Ocean color satellite instruments provide valuable tools for advancing our understanding of the effects that these predicted changes would have on the Arctic Ocean. The remoteness of the western Arctic and the scarcity of in situ research platforms suitable for working year round in this seasonally ice-covered environment highlight the need for achieving climate-quality data records of ecologically relevant parameters from autonomous, remote-sensing platforms such as satellite instruments. The NASA Sea-viewing Wide-Field-of-View Sensor (SeaWiFS) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer onboard Aqua (MODISA), for example, provide estimates of the near surface concentration of chlorophyll-α (C₆, mg m⁻²) and other marine ecological parameters such as marine inherent optical properties (IOPs; the spectral absorption and scattering properties of water column constituents and seawater itself). Time-series of C₆ and IOPs can be valuable tools for assessing the changes in the ecology of Arctic Ocean in the context of a changing climate. Both can be used, for example, to infer the timing and succession of phytoplankton blooms and to generate estimates of NPP (Behrenfeld et al., 2005; Henson et al., 2010). Satellite instruments such as SeaWiFS and MODISA, therefore, provide biogeochemical data records of potential interest for Arctic studies on temporal and spatial scales that cannot be achieved by conventional aircraft and in situ sampling strategies in that region. Furthermore, SeaWiFS and MODISA operate in sun-synchronous, polar orbits that produce global coverage every two days with a nadir spatial resolution of 1.1 km².

Ocean color satellite instruments provide estimates of remote-sensing reflectances (Rₛ(λ), sr⁻¹) after atmospheric correction. Standard NASA ocean color data processing uses globally tuned algorithms to relate Rₛ(λ) to secondary geophysical data products, such as C₆, many of which remain empirical in form and function (McClain, 2009). The standard C₆ algorithms for SeaWiFS and MODISA (OC4 and OC3M, respectively; O’Reilly et al., 1998) relate ratios of blue-to-green Rₛ(λ) to C₆ based on statistical relationships observed using a global in situ data set (Werdell and Bailey, 2005). In some instances, the accuracy of those estimates has been found to underperform when assessed at regional scales. In high-latitude oceans, lower pigment specific spectral absorption coefficients have been invoked to explain the underestimation of C₆ by global ocean color algorithms (Cota et al., 2003; Dierssen and Smith, 2000; Mitchell, 1992; Mitchell and Holm-Hansen, 1991). In the Arctic, those biases have been found to be non-uniform throughout the basin, but with consistent patterns of under and over estimation circumscribed to specific regions. In the Labrador Sea, the OC4 algorithm was found to underestimate C₆ by 50% over most of its dynamic range (Cota et al., 2003), while in the western Arctic Ocean (i.e., Beaufort and Chukchi seas) and the Arctic region of the Atlantic, the bias has been consistently towards overestimation (Ben Mustapha et al., 2012; Matsuoka et al., 2007; Stramska et al., 2003; Wang and Cota, 2003). Atypically high absorption by chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) from river inputs to this Arctic region has been suggested as the main cause for C₆ overestimation from satellite radiometry (Brunelle et al., 2012; Matsuoka et al., 2007). Attempts at developing regionally tuned C₆ for the Arctic (Cota et al., 2004; Wang and Cota, 2003) have had mixed successes (Ben Mustapha et al., 2012; Matsuoka et al., 2007).

Regional empirical C₆ algorithms for the Arctic, and other ocean regions, are based on linear corrections built upon the global, empirically-derived algorithms, and thus rest upon the same bio-optical assumption that the IOPs of natural waters covary with the concentration of C₆ (Gordon and Morel, 1983; Siegel et al., 2002, 2005). All ocean basins deviate from this ideal and are to some extent optically different, and those differences are linked to systematic variability in community structure and biogeochemical function (Loisel et al., 2010; Sauer et al., 2012; Szeto et al., 2011). Semi-analytical algorithms (SAAs) provide an alternative to the band ratio approaches described above (IOCCG, 2006; Werdell et al., 2013a). SAAs use combinations of empiricism and radiative transfer theory to simultaneously estimate concentrations of IOPs for C₆, non-algal particles, and CDOM, thereby eliminating the need for the bio-optical assumption and conceptually improving the performance of C₆ retrievals, particularly in areas where historically traditional approaches have lagged. Initial assessments of IOP time-series from SAAs in the Arctic Ocean have shown potential for improving remote sensing C₆ retrievals in the Arctic (Bélanger et al., 2007; Ben Mustapha et al., 2012). Only very recently have the broad datasets necessary for this task been assembled as the direct result of programs such as ICESCAPE, Malina, and Takuvik (Antoine et al., 2013; Matsuoka et al., 2013b; Zheng et al., in press).

Our contributions to this emerging body of work on ocean color remote-sensing of the Arctic are twofold and all within the context of defining new requirements for advanced satellite instruments with increased spectral resolution (e.g., the NASA Pre-Aerosols, Clouds, and ocean Ecosystems (PACE) mission scheduled for launch in 2020 (PACE Mission Science Definition Team, 2012)). First, we evaluated the quality of standard MODISA ocean color data records in the western Arctic Ocean using in situ data collected during the ICESCAPE campaigns as ground truth. Doing so assessed the quality of standard (current operational) MODISA-derived products for use in Arctic climate studies and reiterated the need for advanced algorithm approaches that simultaneously account for phytoplankton, non-algal particles, and CDOM (Bélanger et al., 2007; Ben Mustapha et al., 2012). Second, we explored the advantages of incorporating additional wavelengths into semi-analytical inversion algorithms. Doing so reinforced the potential benefits of advanced instrument systems with increased spectral resolution for Arctic studies. We acknowledge that ICESCAPE provides only a temporally-limited snapshot of Arctic biogeochemistry and MODISA was only one of several operational satellite missions during the field campaigns. Given the aforementioned goals, however – and the complementary studies in this special issue that benefit from an evaluation of MODISA data records – our highly focused results contribute to the growing foundation of Arctic remote sensing research. We chose MODISA for our analyses since it was best performing NASA ocean color sensor operational at the time of both ICESCAPE field campaigns (Franz et al., 2008).

2. Material and methods

2.1. In situ data collection

Field observations (Fig. 1) were conducted during two ICESCAPE field campaigns, from June 15 to July 22, 2010, and from June 25 to July 29, 2011, onboard the US Coast Guard Cutter Healy. The field observations in this study were carried out from the Arctic Survey Boat (ASB), which was deployed off the Healy almost daily when sea and ice conditions permitted. The ASB is a 10 m aluminum landing craft that provided a suitable platform for making accurate measurements of the underwater light field away from the water disturbed by the cutter and closer to the ice edges.

Two replicate surface water samples for analysis of phytoplankton pigments, POC, and spectral absorption by particles (aₛ(λ); m⁻¹) were collected using clean, 10 L polyethylene containers at each station. Surface samples for analysis of CDOM absorption (aₛ(λ); m⁻¹) were collected using clean, combusted (450 °C, 4 h) 500 mL amber glass
bottles. Determinations of $C_p$, other photosynthetic and accessory pigments, POC, and $a_p(\lambda)$, were performed on material retained on 25 mm, 0.7 mm pore size Whatman GF/F filters under low vacuum (< 50 kPa). Phytoplankton pigments were determined using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) following the procedures of Van Heukelem and Thomas (2001), as further described in Hooker et al. (2005).

The optical density of particulate matter (OD$_p$; unitless) was measured on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 spectrophotometer using a spectral range of 300–800 nm. The clearance area of the filter ($A_c$; m$^2$) was measured with calipers. To measure the OD$_p(\lambda)$ of non-pigmented materials ($a_p(\lambda)$; m$^{-1}$), the filters were extracted using 95% methanol and rescanned (Kishino et al., 1985). The total particulate and de-pigmented absorption coefficients were calculated by

$$a_{p,d}(\lambda) = 2.3036(\text{OD}_{p,d}(\lambda) - \text{OD}_{bp}(\lambda) - \text{OD}_{null}(\lambda))/\beta l$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\beta$ is the pathlength amplification correction set to 2 (unitless), and OD$_{bp}$ is the optical density of the blank GF/F filter. The path length, $l$ (m), is given by

$$l = V_f/A_f$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $V_f$ (m$^3$) is the filtered volume (Mitchell et al., 2003; Roesler, 1998). The OD$_p(\lambda)$ of $a_p(750)$ and $a_d(750)$ were assumed to be zero (OD$_{null}$). The phytoplankton absorption coefficient, $a_{ph}(\lambda)$, is then given by

$$a_{ph}(\lambda) = a_p(\lambda) - a_d(\lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Samples for determination of $a_d(\lambda)$ were GF/F filtered at sea and stored at 8 $^\circ$C in clean, combusted 250 mL amber-colored glass bottles for delivery to NASA Goddard Space Flight Center for analysis. Prior to $a_d(\lambda)$ determination, samples were re-filtered (pre-rinsed 0.22 μm membrane filters) in the laboratory and absorbance spectra ($A(\lambda)$; unitless) were measured against a Milli-Q pure water reference on a 2 m-pathlength, liquid core waveguide absorption cell coupled to a Tidas I fiber optic spectrometer (WPI Inc.; Miller et al., 2002). Measured absorbances were corrected for the difference in refractive indices between the reference and the salt-containing samples. A linear correction was derived from the absorbances measured on NaCl solutions of known salinity against a pure water reference. The corresponding spectral absorbance correction for a given salinity was subtracted from the observed sample spectrum. The spectral absorption coefficients were obtained by

$$a_d(\lambda) = 2.303A(\lambda)/l$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $l$ is the pathlength in meters. Absorbance data were corrected for baseline offsets by subtracting the average absorbance value between 690 and 700 nm. The spectral absorption coefficient of dissolved plus non-algal detrital material, $a_{adg}(\lambda)$, is then given by

$$a_{adg}(\lambda) = a_d(\lambda) + a_p(\lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Currently, the contributions of $a_d(\lambda)$ and $a_p(\lambda)$ cannot be separated in the satellite algorithm paradigm as they maintain the same spectral shapes (IOCCG, 2006).

POC was determined on a Perkin Elmer 2400 elemental analyzer, following the protocols of the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (Knap et al., 1996). The instrument was calibrated using acetanilide (C$_9$H$_9$NO) standards weighed at a precision of 0.001 mg. For quality assessment, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) reference material (Buffalo River Sediment, NIST 8704) was analyzed along with samples. The root mean squared percentage error (RMSPE) for reference material determinations were 9.7% and 4.4%, for the 2010 and 2011 samples, respectively.

The radiometric quantities upwelling radiance ($L_u(\lambda)$; μW cm$^{-2}$ nm$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$) and downwelling irradiance ($E_d(\lambda)$; μW cm$^{-2}$ nm$^{-1}$) were measured at 19 spectral bands between 300 and 900 nm using hand-deployed C-OPS and SuBOPS submersible profiling radiometers (Biospherical Instruments, Inc.; Hooker et al., 2010; Morrow et al., 2010), respectively, during the 2010 and 2011 campaigns following NASA Ocean Optics Protocols (Mueller and Austin, 1995). A radiometer to measure surface solar irradiance ($E_s(\lambda)$; μW cm$^{-2}$ nm$^{-1}$) in corresponding spectral bands was mounted atop a telescoping mast with a clear view of the sky on board the ASB (Hooker, 2010). Remote sensing reflectances ($R_s(\lambda)$; sr$^{-1}$) and spectral diffuse attenuation coefficients for $E_d(\lambda)/K_d(\lambda)$ (μm$^{-1}$) were calculated from the radiometric profiles using the methods described in Wardell and Bailey (2005), and references therein. A linear exponential fit was applied to radiance and irradiance profiles to calculate the spectral diffuse attenuation coefficients. Those coefficients were used to propagate radiances and irradiances to below-surface values, which were then transmitted across the air-sea interface (Mueller and Austin, 1995). Quality control and assurance applied to these apparent optical properties (AOPs) is further described in Wardell and Bailey (2005).

Approximately 90% of what a satellite ocean color instrument measures includes weighted contributions from all water column constituents more shallow than the e-folding depth for $K_d(\lambda)$ (Gordon and McCluney, 1975). While the optical weighting of biogeochemical parameters remains desirable for ocean color data product validation activities, this could not be achieved using our data set since only surface discrete water samples were collected (e.g., Zaneveld et al., 2005). We expect this inability to optically weight our $C_a$, POC, and IOP samples to impart only minor variability into our results, as the majority of our stations maintained e-folding depths for $K_d(443)$ between 5 and 10 m.

2.2. Satellite data

MODISA Level 2 data records that encompassed the two ICESCAPE campaigns were acquired from the NASA Ocean Biology Processing Group (OBPG: http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov). Using these data records, satellite-to-in situ match-ups for MODISA were generated using the operational OBPG validation infrastructure (http://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/seabasscgi/search.cgi). Satellite data processing and quality assurance for these match-ups followed Bailey and Wardell (2006). Specifically: (a) temporal coincidence was defined as ± 3 h; (b) satellite values were the filtered mean of all
unmasked pixels in a 5 × 5 box centered on the in situ target; and (c) satellite values were excluded when the median coefficient of variation for unflagged pixels within the box exceeded 0.15. These temporal and spatial thresholds were developed for the open ocean and may not be ideal for a dynamic region such as the western Arctic, where adjacency effects along sea ice margins and pixel contamination by sea ice are known additional sources of error (Bélanger et al., 2007). However, reducing the window of temporal coincidence to ±1 h and the satellite box to 3 × 3 pixels did not change the number of match-ups or significantly alter our results. Regression statistics were calculated using Type II methods; the caption of Table 1 provides definitions and calculation methods for the statistics. Finally, MODISA Level 3 composites for the duration each ICESCAPE campaign at 2 km spatial resolution were generated from the Level 2 data records using OBPG binning software (12bin and 13gen). Standard Level 3 masks were used, as described in Franz et al. (2005).

2.3. Bio-optical algorithms

Modeled estimates of $C_a$, POC, and spectral IOPs were generated using both the in situ and MODISA $R_{rs}(\lambda)$. The following algorithms and data products were used:

#### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type II regression statistics for modeled geophysical parameters calculated using in situ $R_{rs}$ vs. in situ measured parameters.</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>Slope (± SE)</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_a$ (OC3M)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.72 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_a$ (GIOP)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.73 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.04 (0.11)</td>
<td>147.97</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{ph}(443)$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.18 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{bb}(443)$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.05 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. OC3M estimated $C_a$ (A), Stramski POC (B), GIOP $a_{ph}(443)$ (C), and GIOP $a_{bb}(443)$ (D) using in situ $R_{rs}(\lambda)$, versus in situ measured values. Supporting Type II regression statistics are provided in Table 1.

Note these are all data products that NASA produces operationally for the ocean color satellites for which they maintain responsibility. Both OC3M and the Stramski POC algorithm use blue-to-green ratios of $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ as input to empirical expressions. OC3M uses the greater of $R_{rs}(443)/R_{rs}(547)$ and $R_{rs}(488)/R_{rs}(547)$, while the Stramski POC algorithm uses $R_{rs}(488)/R_{rs}(547)$. The sequencing band ratios of OC3M are hereafter referred to as a maximum band ratio (MBR; O’Reilly et al., 1998). Note that the current OBPG version of OC3M includes the modifications presented in http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/ANALYSIS/ocv6/.

In contrast to the latter algorithms, Generalized Inherent Optical Property model (GIOP) is a spectral matching inversion model (i.e., SAA) that uses nonlinear least squares methods to solve for three eigenvalues ($a_{ph}(443)$, $a_{ph}(443)$, and the spectral backscattering coefficient for particles ($b_{bb}(\lambda)$; m$^{-1}$ at 443 nm) using predefined eigenvectors (spectral shapes for the three components). GIOP produces estimates of $C_a$ through the relationship $a_{ph}(443)=0.055 C_a$, where 0.055 represents the $C_a$-specific absorption coefficient (m$^2$ mg$^{-1}$) at 443 nm. We used the default configuration of GIOP; Werdell et al. (2013a) modified following Werdell et al. (2013b); Werdell et al. (2013a) provide additional details on the operation and limitations of GIOP. GIOP was applied twice to in situ $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ – first using only six MODISA wavelengths (412, 443, 488, 531, 547, and 667 nm) to mimic the satellite application of this algorithm, and then using 11 wavelengths (adding 395, 465, 510, 560, and 625 nm to the standard MODISA suite).

We acknowledge that alternative SAAs exist, including regionally tuned versions e.g., the Garver–Siegel–Maritorena (GSM) approach of Maritorena et al. (2002), the Quasi-Algebraical Algorithm (QAA) of Lee et al. (2002), and their modified versions in Ben Mustapha et al. (2012) and Bélanger et al. (2007), respectively. An algorithm inter-comparison exercise, however, exceeded the scope...
of this manuscript and did not substantially contribute to our stated goals of comparing empirical and SAA approaches and exploring expanded spectral resolutions. Furthermore, previous studies have shown GIOPl to perform equivalently to or better than GSM and QAA on global scales (Brewin et al., 2013; Werdell et al., 2013a, 2013b). We recommend that future studies continue to explore regional parameterizations (Bélanger et al., 2007; Ben Mustapha et al., 2012) and ensemble approaches for applying SAA (such as the optical water type method of Moore et al. (2009) or the iterative method of Brando et al. (2012)) with particular emphasis on exploiting increased spectral resolution.

3. Results

The direct comparison of modeled and ground-truth (in this case, in situ) values provided a straightforward mechanism for assessing the accuracy of the MODISA bio-optical algorithms. OC3M $C_a$ derived using in situ $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ was positively biased relative to in situ $C_a$, with a model-to-in situ ratio of 3.05 (Table 1). This was particularly true for values below 1 mg m$^{-3}$, which ultimately led to a depressed regression slope of 0.72 (Fig. 2a). When only considering $C_a \leq 1$ and 0.2 mg m$^{-3}$, the ratios rose to 3.37 and 5.25 and the slopes fell to 0.66 and 0.64, respectively. GIOPl $C_a$ showed significantly reduced bias relative to that from OC3M, as the ratio for all $C_a$ fell to 1.56, but maintained a similar regression slope of 0.73. Stramski POC calculated with in situ $R_{rs}$ performed statistically better than the $C_a$ algorithms. As demonstrated by its slope of 1.04 and ratio of 1.08, the Stramski POC showed no clear bias relative to in situ measurements over its full dynamic range (Fig. 2b). The six-wavelength GIOPl run provided reasonable estimates of $q_{ph}(443)$ and $q_{ph}(443)$ with slopes slightly above unity, but with positively and negatively biased model-to-in situ ratios of 1.64 and 0.77, respectively (Fig. 2c, d). GIOPl showed significant improvement over OC3M with regards to estimating the presence of phytoplankton over its full dynamic range. The $r^2$, regression slope, and RMSE for OC3M, GIOPl, and GiOP less variably described phytoplankton signatures with $q_{ph}(\lambda)$ than with $C_a$, most likely due to the inability of the fixed value of $q_{ph}(443)$ (=0.055 m$^2$ mg$^{-1}$) to accurately represent phytoplankton physiological conditions at all times. For context, the mean $q_{ph}(443)$ for our two field campaign data set was 0.054 (± 0.025) m$^2$ mg$^{-1}$.

MODISA-versus-in situ Level 2 $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ match-ups showed better agreement in green wavelengths than in blue wavelengths, with MODISA values exceeding in situ values at wavelengths less than 488 nm (Fig. 3a–c, Table 2). This overestimation was spectrally dependent with regression slopes and satellite-to-in situ ratios that sequentially fell from 4.29 and 1.52, respectively, to 0.97 and 1.06 from $R_{rs}(412)$ to $R_{rs}(531)$. Nevertheless, the MBR agreement was very good, with a satellite-to-in situ ratio of 1.03 (Fig. 3d). While the brightening of MODISA $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ had spectral dependence, the MBR predominantly used $R_{rs}(488)$ and $R_{rs}(547)$ (also the input to the Stramski POC algorithm), which had comparable satellite-to-in situ ratios of 1.06 and 1.12, respectively. We expect that this Rayleigh-like brightening of blue wavelengths resulted from adjacency effects imposed, for example, by the nearby presence of ice edges (Bélanger et al., 2007; Perovich, 1996; Warren, 1982;
Warren et al., 2006) in combination with imperfect atmospheric correction due to the elevated solar and sensor geometries that occur at high latitudes. Furthermore, elevated turbidity (e.g., when \( C_a \) exceeds 1 or 2 mg m\(^{-3} \)) triggers a bio-optical model within the atmospheric correction process to account for non-negligible near-infrared \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \) (Bailey et al., 2010). The applicability of this bio-optical model to Arctic waters requires further evaluation.

The patterns of modeled-versus-measured \( C_a \), POC, and IOPs for MODISA \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \) were similar to those modeled using in situ \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \), which was not unexpected for OC3M and Stramska POC algorithms given the similarities in the satellite and in situ \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \) at 488 and 547 nm (Table 2). OC3M \( C_a \) from MODISA versus HPLC \( C_a \) yielded \( r^2 \), regression slope, and ratios of 0.64, 0.37, and 3.95, respectively, compared to 0.62, 0.72, and 3.05 for OC3M \( C_a \) from in situ \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \). Both in situ- and MODISA-derived \( C_a \) showed clear elevated biases at values below 1 mg m\(^{-3} \) (Fig. 4a). When only considering \( C_a \leq 1 \) and 0.2 mg m\(^{-3} \), the ratios rose to 4.02 and 4.57, respectively. Qualitatively, the MODISA-derived Stramski POC achieved as good agreement with in situ measurements as did the estimates obtained with in situ \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \) (Fig. 4b), however its slope and ratio fell to 0.55 and 0.92. Despite the spectral brightening of blue MODIS \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \), \( a_{ph}(443) \) and \( a_{adg}(443) \) from GIOP also attained comparable metrics to estimates obtained using GIOP with in situ radiometry at MODISA wavelengths (Fig. 4c, d). Both in situ- and MODISA-derived IOPs showed similar biases in comparison with field measurements – specifically, ratios of 1.64 and 2.22 for \( a_{ph}(443) \), and 0.77 and 0.43 for \( a_{adg}(443) \). Note that in all cases, the number of in situ samples (Table 1) exceeded those from MODISA (Table 2) by approximately three-fold due to the small number of satellite-in situ matchups attained during both campaigns.

MODISA Level 3 composites for both ICESCAPE campaigns reiterated that \( C_a \) from an empirical approach (OC3M) consistently exceeds that from a semi-analytical approach (GIOP) in the western Arctic (Figs. 5a, b and 6a, b). For both 2010 and 2011, regions where such differences were most qualitatively obvious corresponded to regions with the highest CDOM (as inferred via \( a_{adg}(443) \)). For example, consider the areas around the Bering Strait and near the receding ice edge at the north end of each scene, as opposed to those near the Alaskan coast and Kotzubue Sound on the Level 3 imagery (Figs. 5 and 6). Around the former, particularly in 2010, GIOP reported low \( a_{adg}(443) \) and prominent features of high \( C_a \) captured by both OC3M and GIOP. On the other hand, along the AK coast, particularly in Kotzubue Sound, GIOP \( a_{adg}(443) \) and OC3M \( C_a \) were both high, whereas the magnitude of GIOP \( C_a \) was much reduced. These examples imply that either elevated \( a_{adg}(443) \) or \( a_{ph}(443) \) triggered OC3M to report elevated \( C_a \), which is consistent with previous findings that OC3M and OC4 mistake elevated CDOM as elevated \( C_a \) (Ben Mustapha et al., 2012; Matsuoka et al., 2007). Maps of unbiased percent difference (UPD; see Fig. 7 for its calculation) between OC3M and GIOP \( C_a \) showed that the regions of least difference between those estimates, during both campaigns, were located towards the receding ice edge, away from the coast, and in the Bering Strait where those high \( C_a \) features occurred. These two example regions (i.e., Bering St., ice edge vs. AK coast, Kotzubue sound) corresponded to the minimal and maximal UPDs in the Level 3 composites. MODISA data along the USCGC Healy cruise tracks during the ICESCAPE campaigns further underscore the overestimation of \( C_a \) by OC3M in the regions of highest CDOM (Fig. 8). There is marked coherence between the UPD between OC3M and GIOP \( C_a \) and \( a_{adg}(443) \) along the cruise tracks for both campaigns. The UPD between those estimates trended towards null as \( a_{adg}(443) \) decreased below values of 0.02 mg m\(^{-1} \), and reached values above 100% as \( a_{adg}(443) \) 0.10 mg m\(^{-1} \).

4. Discussion

Our observations of positively biased OC3M \( C_a \) relative to coincident in situ measurements are consistent with previous studies that have evaluated the performance of empirical, globally tuned ocean color algorithms in the Arctic Ocean (Ben Mustapha et al., 2012; Cota et al., 2004; Stramska et al., 2003). These biases were realized for both the MODISA and in situ \( R_{rs}(\lambda) \), which
implies the source of the bias was the bio-optical algorithm and not by the observed radiometry (Tables 1 and 2, Figs. 2 and 4). In general, the relationship between MODISA and in situ MBR versus in situ Ca from ICESCAPE fell well below the global relationship employed by OC3M, which was derived using the NASA bio-optical Marine Algorithm data set (NOMAD; Werdell and Bailey.

**Fig. 5.** Level 3 MODISA composites for the region of study during ICESCAPE 2010: (A) OC3M Ca; (B) GIOP Ca; (C) GIOP adg(443); and (D) bbb(443).

**Fig. 6.** Level 3 MODISA composites for the region of study during ICESCAPE 2011: (A) OC3M Ca; (B) GIOP Ca; (C) GIOP adg(443); and (D) bbb(443).
Fig. 7. Level 3 MODISA composites for the unbiased percent difference (UPD) between OC3M and GIOP $C_a$, for the ICESCAPE campaigns in (A) 2010, and (B) 2011. UPD was calculated as $200 \times \frac{(OC3M-GIOP)}{(OC3M+GIOP)}$. Black denotes pixels flagged for ice, clouds, or sediments.

Fig. 8. Unbiased percent difference (UPD) between OC3M and GIOP $C_a$, and $a_d(443)$, for MODISA pixels collected along the track of the USCGC Healy during the ICESCAPE 2010 (A), and 2011 (B) campaigns. The right y-axis show $a_d(443)$, which is shown in red in both panels. Tick marks above each plot indicate the locations along track where in situ ASB observations were conducted.
In the western Arctic Ocean, the OC3M algorithm appears to attribute CDOM absorption, $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$, to phytoplankton absorption, $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ (Matsuoka et al., 2007). Conceptually, excess $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ (relative to what would be derivative of only phytoplankton) produces lower MBRs that result in overestimates of $C_\text{a}$ (Fig. 9). In the western Arctic, we do not expect $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ to covary with $C_\text{a}$ as it does in lower latitude and oligotrophic oceans (Siegel et al., 2002, 2005). High levels of CDOM in Arctic Ocean waters have been widely documented (e.g., Brunelle et al., 2012; Granskog et al., 2012; Guéguen et al., 2005; Matsuoka et al., 2013a, 2011, 2007; Pégau, 2002; Stedmon et al., 2011). The basin as a whole receives the largest dissolved organic carbon input (and hence CDOM input) from rivers, relative to its size, than any other ocean basin (Rachold et al., 2004). In the western Arctic Ocean, $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ has been reported as more than 50% of the total non-water absorption at all visible wavelengths, and up to 75% at 443 nm (Brunelle et al., 2012; Matsuoka et al., 2007).

GIOP effectively estimated both phytoplankton and CDOM absorption (Figs. 2 and 4). Like most SAAs, GIOP does not assume covariance between $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ and $C_\text{a}$. The quality of the absorption estimates and the demonstrated deficiencies in OC3M $C_\text{a}$ suggest to a first order that SAAs provide more reliable data records for western Arctic studies than do existing empirical methods. GIOP provided less variable estimates of $a_{\text{ph}}(443)$ ($r^2=0.85$; Table 1) than of $C_\text{a}$ ($r^2=0.72$; Table 1). In principle, this suggests that the spectral shape of the $C_\text{a}$-specific absorption coefficient employed by GIOP (from Bricaud et al., 1998) is adequate for the western Arctic, but that its magnitude ($a_{\text{ph}}(443)=0.055$ m$^2$ mg$^{-1}$) is insufficient to unequivocally relate absorption to $C_\text{a}$ at all times. The mean observed $a_{\text{ph}}(443)$ for our two field campaigns matched the GIOP default (0.054 ± 0.025 m$^2$ mg$^{-1}$), but ranged from 0.069 to 0.102 m$^2$ mg$^{-1}$. Studies that have measured $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ in Arctic waters have found strong seasonality caused by changes in "pigment packaging" associated with the extreme seasonal variation in light availability (Brunelle et al., 2012; Matsuoka et al., 2011). Well-established interdependencies between $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ and phytoplankton community indices (e.g., $C_\text{a}$ dominant cell size) at lower latitudes (Ciotti et al., 2002), might be upended in Arctic waters by the strong seasonality and its effect on phytoplankton physiology (Brunelle et al., 2012).

In practice, future studies with interest in Arctic phytoplankton dynamics and production might benefit from evaluating absorption spectra in lieu of $C_\text{a}$ abundances. Alternatively, when stocks and abundances are required, regional relationships between $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ and $C_\text{a}$ could be developed for application to the GIOP-derived $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ following, for example, the approach presented in Werdell et al. (2013c). A sufficient in situ data set exists from ICESCAPE such that regional statistical relationships between $a_{\text{ph}}(443)$ and $C_\text{a}$ can be developed (e.g., Bricaud et al., 1998; Werdell et al., 2013c). Our limited in situ data set yielded the following best fit relationship using Type II linear least squares regression:

$$\log_{10}(C_\text{a}) = 1.564 + \log_{10}(a_{\text{ph}}(443)) + 2.283$$

($r^2=0.92$; RMSE=0.171). Application of Eq. (6) to the GIOP-derived $a_{\text{ph}}(443)$ from MODISA yielded $C_\text{a}$ match-ups with improved $r^2$ ($=0.71$ versus 0.65 in Table 2) and regression slopes (0.89 versus 0.44). However, the positive bias in MODISA-derived $C_\text{a}$ remained (ratio of 3.77 compared to 3.62) because of the positive bias realized in the MODISA-derived $a_{\text{ph}}(443)$ (Fig. 4). We expect that additional regional tuning (e.g., Ben Mustapha et al., 2012) in subsequent studies would facilitate further reduction of these biases.

Two additional aspects of GIOP (representing all SAAs) merit discussion in the context of using its MODISA data records to study the western Arctic. First, as previously noted, MODISA $R_{\text{rs}}(\lambda)$ showed a Rayleigh-like spectral brightening in blue wavelengths as compared to in situ measurements, which we originally
with the addition of more in the western Arctic exceeds the scope of this paper. That said, our interest was in evaluating derived biogeochemical biases tend towards under-estimation (Bailey and Werdell, 2006). In practice, the brightened $R_{ns}(\lambda)$ did not unduly affect the MBR (and thus OC3M and Stramski $R_{ns}(547)$ ) were the least affected by the overestimation (Table 2). In practice, the brightened $R_{ns}(\lambda)$ did not alter our GIOP results. The spectral dependence of the brightening mirrored the spectral absorption of CDOM (sequentially increasing with decreasing wavelength). Given that $R_{ns}(\lambda)$ and absorption are inversely proportional (Morel and Prieur, 1977), erroneously elevated blue $R_{ns}(\lambda)$ conceptually produces an underestimation of CDOM in an SAA (i.e., less absorbed blue light suggests low CDOM absorption). The model-to-in situ ratios of 1.64 for $q_{opt}(443)$ and 0.77 for $q_{opt}(443)$ support this theory. With this in mind, our demonstration that OC3M overestimated $C_0$ in regions of high CDOM remains relevant and, if anything, understated. Naturally, the influence of ice edges (Bélanger et al., 2007) – and how adjacency effects propagate through a Rayleigh atmosphere at high latitudes – merits further investigation. Any brightening of satellite $R_{ns}(\lambda)$ bands relative to in situ measurements is uncommon – the most frequently seen biases tend towards under-estimation (Bailey and Werdell, 2006).

That said, our interest was in evaluating derived biogeochemical data records. Further analysis of MODISA atmospheric correction in the western Arctic exceeds the scope of this paper.

Second, one might expect the performance of GIOP to improve with the addition of more $R_{ns}(\lambda)$ in the ultraviolet-to-visible spectral range. Future ocean color sensors, such as the NASA Pre-Aerosols, Clouds, and ocean Ecosystems (PACE) instrument, are expected to collect $R_{ns}(\lambda)$ with additional spectral resolution (National Research Council, 2011; PACE Mission Science Definition Team, 2012). Using five additional spectral bands (395, 465, 510, 560, and 625 nm) from the in situ radiometry – in addition to the MODISA bands at 412, 443, 488, 531, 547, and 667 nm – to estimate GIOP $q_{opt}(\lambda)$ and $q_{adg}(\lambda)$ improved the performance metrics for those parameters (Fig. 10, Table 3). Executing GIOP with 11 wavelengths instead of 6 improved the regression slope, RMSE, and ratio for $R_{ns}(443)$, $R_{ns}(490)$, $R_{ns}(510)$, $R_{ns}(547)$, $R_{ns}(555)$, $R_{ns}(667)$, $R_{ns}(670)$, $R_{ns}(700)$, $R_{ns}(750)$, $R_{ns}(765)$, $R_{ns}(775)$, $R_{ns}(780)$, and $R_{ns}(865)$ by 1.18, 0.202, 1.64 to 1.16, 0.184, and 1.38, respectively. Similarly, these statistics for $q_{adg}(443)$ improved from 1.05, 0.828, and 0.77 to 1.03, 0.077, and 1.33. The addition of $R_{ns}(395)$ drove the shift in bias in $q_{adg}(443)$ from negative to positive. Repeated analyses, perhaps in combination with analytical simulations using Hydrolight, for example (Mobley and Sundman, 2003), would provide additional insight into advanced spectral requirements for new satellite instruments to support ocean color Arctic research.

5. Conclusions

These results demonstrate that the pervasive positive bias in OC3M $C_0$ estimates, and similar empirical approaches, for the Arctic Ocean reported here and previously by others, are due to the direct effect of the absorption by CDOM on remote-sensing reflectances. The resulting bio-optical signature for this region has not been well characterized in the datasets that support ocean color algorithm development for current ocean color missions. The improved performance of the semi-analytical GIOP approach in separating the in-water light absorbing components suggests that a path forward for improved ocean color applications, which satisfies climate research needs, should rely more heavily on a mechanistic understanding of the phenomena that affect light propagation in the Arctic Ocean. For example, future studies that further characterize and use phytoplankton absorption instead of just chlorophyll-$a$ abundance will help improve IOP-to-$C_0$ transfer functions in semi-analytical algorithms. Likewise, better characterization of Rayleigh-like spectral brightening of satellite data identified here suggests adjacency effects that merit further review. Furthermore, the improved performance from applying additional spectral bands to semi-analytical algorithms demonstrates the advantage of improved spectral resolution for future ocean color sensors that will be applied to Arctic and global ocean research.
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Fig. 10. GIOP-derived $q_{opt}(443)$ (A) and $q_{adg}(443)$ (B) using 11 wavelengths, rather than just MODISA wavelengths, versus in situ measured values. Type II regression statistics presented in Table 3.


